MECHANICS OF DEFORMABLE DIRECTED SURFACES

Pavel A. Zhilin

Department of Mechanics and Control Processes
Polytechnical Institute, Leningrad, USSR

quency dispersion surfaces obtained using variance properties with respective to certain symmetry discussed in detail. The structure elastic moduli are determined by demanding the three-dimensional theory of presented in postulated in a two-dimensional directed continuum. The constitutive equations ties, which are equation of dynamic in particular, The the most general form and then specialized to paper develops foils thermo-elastic rewritten in a special form. The linear theory general form. Stress-strain relations are derived using energy balance o f to the the elasticity. corresponding a simple, shell theory based on the concepts and the classical shell of the stress-strain two entropy yet che e surfaces complete two-dimensional coincidence theory, production in resulting groups. using relation concrete theory their infrom the the fre-The S 18 equalir e ent nonof a

1. INTRODUCTION

derivation of the basic equations of two-dimensional elasticity; theory from the equations of estimate theory we think of the following general rule, of. a formulation of the boundary conditions; the accuracy of the equations when speaking three-dimensional theory 0f problems: the foundations so derived. a rational 0 shell O£ an shell

In so doing it is implicitly (and sometimes even explicitly) understood that the shell theory should be an asymptotically exact result of the theory of elasticity.

one dimension of However, difficulties The intuitive which definition of ۲. very already much arise smaller shell in defining than that the Of other ğ body

Visiting the Department of Solid Mechanics, The Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Lyngby.

w

definition ő shell cknes н (1) (2) dimensional ហ problem of de e by both the þe tructures 19 71) rict ט S) small theory വ obviously <u>ب</u> ت O.f. Besides rather, Moreover, the such such, ω look arnd shell class shell thre some cases. inadequat bend the although when like e-dimensional elasticity times Ė o f the theory, ling Ø pot permissible Ιt shells seen implest requirement also of. may įt the regardless \mapsto rom ď and ST superfluous. For also ល OH. main trip H impos μ ac can the ф ф dis external that problem ր. Ծ • sibl н remarked ተ μ. of Ħ. emaining 1 ance described the Į. the Ø Ľħ act theory also ij ð loads shell many thickness define tha example the be μď nece engi egual oblems (Niord shel and thick the M Ø <u>1</u> er-O.f he Ÿ

dif prob Н fold and contradic J provi concept g H hell rdi lems rotat shell lity ded, edly sary shell concepts However, obably bu signific Or theory det of f o f ory 9 considered in tions ions Ω **r**† however Ď urfaces theory, ontains these directed he ermination Ó unknown. the theory. great the solve been yet a that antly onstr are inherent in contradistinction through theory concepts rea merit which all the |ģ introduced are that the sons To deformable Ç However revived Q H does the o f MOL tion theory of þ 0 f Ġ answer the specified ame makes S H ij <u>۲</u> the 0f дi μŢ each not basi directed 0 the the shell įs Hec problems н, forces, interes the this the range Ω able the **⊢**• Ģ O 1 theory other shell surf <u>٥</u> prioni dir elements appr ьq theory. possible 9 cons ncide 7 Ø ē Ç O.fr question surfaces ç ected aces. 4 7 6 þ couples, oach theory ory SP truction dec in of. Whereas two-dimensional řt cover with into the arise Ŋ a Ca e the The ש directed The bası deformable inherent ő applicability H shell the įt Ďe are the construction 0 displacements limi avoid theory This н in the 9 lot Will Ø theory റ О Ľ construc theory question ٥f <u>ب</u> ئ surfac in the theo yet the # a q surf un≖ the መ man н Ø Œ. must Н ₩ ac ๘ ۲. a. О (D) (O) a K ⇉ Н

> theory is question. answered exactly, the The probably that these major stumbling same two problems block cannot in the þe said of the classical shell are mixed. latter

elastic introduced a priori into such a theory, the many ways of interpreting the dedicated to the memory of the Cosserat formulation that (1967), approach to this thermal theory of directed the much later ment developed theory this approach to introduced the path bars and shells can be traced back to Euler. subject. of the Green The of shells leading from the shells. who, by E. use of that & Naghdi published a number the construction of shell theory took a however, considers attention of workers These idea of directed and F. Cosserat. However, further developa paper is very close a direct (Green & Naghdi, problem has directed surfaces authors developed theory of Λq approach to constructing a theory Ericksen & Truesdell (1958), been ö μ. † a shell different path. spaces that was subsequently direct quanti towards seeking a direct the presen 1967). ָב בֿ and linear more .ed and pointed out general non-isoof papers devoted to theory. Beginning brothers, ted by Reissner ties that are × surfaces somewhat thus illuminated theory of restricted Later, Dühem It was only again ťo one of different Þ.

possible director is necessary for describing she dynamics vectors works ter, not well as stiffened shells. To this end inequalities by Green and others. However, it Firstly, in the choice Thus, The essence formulation of the general description of the kinematics and not ŝ only in purely technical details, but also as folç 2) of a surface include the temperature section SP e H of the present work is a single 4 Clausius - Dühem type presents second with such vector. Of. the the dire law of drop along the const Such 11s itutive equations thermodynamics director. Secondly, , the present work ctors as a triad of iffers from the lat-<u>33</u> very close to the choice of a This makes made of polymers, (§ 1) and the shell thick-25 j. t

and stress-strain-temperature relations for the non-isothermal theory of a directed surface.

connection, Las natur conventional couples ilize nation ;tly, mechanical ities al vibration, for Thirdly, in the rotations, the ij in similar 0 F linear the 7. a shell implicit Ļ their interpre Ω is worth pointing out determination of system to three-dimensional continuum. coupled forces structure. which, ន្ឋ those averaging tation being аs formulation thermo-elasticity and in Of. and such in contradistinction of some Niordson (1971) and couples, In doing so, and the properti the average 0£ not displacements, elastic the that are Of, O O of, constitutive its € O ¥e 0f characteris moduli. the do Specifically, deformed frequencies follow to di not corres Serbin the forces use 'n In place pond equa deterthi (19 the D 0 ing 163). of f **®** +pu Ø 1 **₹**

2. KINEMATICS OF A DIRECTED SURFACE

of coordinates follows. local ಬ consider Let given vectors $\mathbb{R}(x^1)$ carrying noqu there ,×2 at formally the , † each $\underline{D}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t})$ be surface. -11 surface given point $\underline{R}(x,t)$, where മ directed a material surface, This obeying Of, and the can be + the carrying surface Ė ×o the defined conditions * time. ហ surface \leftarrow are Λq called defined Also, materia ğ vect 2 T 1e 0 the ad ᡤ \vdash М ហ

of The the carrying vectors carrying sur face surface Ϋ́ surface are denoted with generally and will Λq such t, independent ρ be called director Thus, рı Will g directed ø director the be geome called surface try

with tensor at b the set 2 $(\underline{R}(x,t),$ definition the moment advisable consider of differential equations $\underline{\underline{D}}_{k}(x,t)$ components ţ O of ያህ two define directed is defined if a distribution of 3-frames in a vector and t S 3-dimensional space is define surface fields in another S $R_{\alpha}(x,t)$ Ę not intrinsic. Thus, **x**D **w**ау. and To this end, 9 known. Such ወ $\underline{K}_{\alpha}(x,t)$ solution O.F įŧ

Ç

$$(2.2) \quad \partial_{\alpha} \underline{R} = \underline{R}_{\alpha} , \quad \partial_{\alpha} \underline{D}_{k} = \underline{K}_{\alpha} \times \underline{D}_{k}, \quad \partial_{\alpha} \equiv \partial/\partial \mathbf{x}^{\alpha}$$

obey İS Cartan's clear that equation ů Z and 0f structure ᅜ cannot a D arbitrary and must

$$(2.3) \qquad \partial_{\alpha} \overline{R}_{\beta} = \partial_{\beta} \overline{R}_{\alpha} , \quad \partial_{\alpha} \overline{K}_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta} \overline{K}_{\alpha} - \underline{K}_{\alpha} \times \underline{K}_{\beta} = 0$$

posed These tions 8 equations (2.2).For follow from the future use ĕe integrability introduce analogous condiconditions im-

$$(2.4) \quad \partial_{\alpha} \dot{\mathbf{R}} = (\partial_{\alpha} \mathbf{R})^{\bullet}, \quad \partial_{\alpha} \dot{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{k}} = (\partial_{\alpha} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{k}})^{\bullet}, \quad \dot{\mathbf{f}} = \mathrm{d}\mathbf{f}/\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}$$

for representing \dot{R}_{α} and \dot{R}_{0}

(2.5)
$$\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha} = \partial_{\alpha} \mathbf{V} , \quad \dot{\mathbf{K}}_{\alpha} = \partial_{\alpha} \Omega + \Omega \times \mathbf{K}_{\alpha} ,$$

1< the being angular the velocity linear velocity of. the frame about Off. the apex of its apex, viz, 3-frame and |:0

(2.6)
$$\underline{\dot{R}} = \underline{V}(x,t)$$
, $\underline{\dot{D}}_{k} = \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{D}_{k}$.

within tions ٦t μ can rigid body motion ь́е shown that D. a directed space ř surface three sets of بر S defined func-

and I gene greek ral indices Ļ the the following, values latin indices Lake the value 2,3

The cross-product is defined in the natural basis of the carrying surface in the standard way.

Of. fol ıΞ low cours being Let from D בנ Ø these unit (2.3)introduce normal functions and the three ç Codazzi the must tensors carrying satisfy equation * the surface) for equations g to are known. which

(2.8)
$$\underline{R} = R_{\alpha n} \underline{R}^{\alpha} \otimes \underline{D}^{n}$$
, $\underline{K} = K_{\alpha n} \underline{R}^{\alpha} \otimes \underline{D}^{n}$, $\underline{B} = B_{\alpha \beta} \underline{R}^{\alpha} \otimes \underline{R}^{\beta}$

$$\mathbb{R}^{\alpha} \cdot \mathbb{R}_{\beta} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}$$

ut tensors which example, come tensor and ᅜ clear w: 11 0f ||tt **||W** Ė ٦ ٢ a directed be are Ţņ S introduced by Kirchoff's subsequent absent called known in the physical theories, jn first, surface, respectively. theory the the sections. classical theory second director 0f shells and But it As third ֆ ŠŢ general The of. present, fundamenta as surfaces, tensors will rule, for be.

Now we introduce new vectors Φ_{α} in place of K_{α} as allows

$$(2.9) \qquad \underline{K}_{\alpha} = \underline{\Phi}_{\alpha} + \underline{A} \cdot \underline{k}_{\alpha}, \ \underline{A}(x,t) = \underline{D}_{k}(x,t) \otimes \underline{d}^{k}(x)$$

 $\|\mathbf{r}\|$ be shown Ø an that orthogonal $\frac{\Phi}{\Omega}(x,t)$ tensor įs or defined ថ្កា tensor γd $\circ f$ 1> and rotation. Vice versa Ιt can

2.10)
$$\partial_{\alpha}\underline{\underline{A}}(x,t) = \underline{\Phi}_{\alpha}(x,t) \times \underline{\underline{A}}(x,t)$$
,

provided that $\underline{\underline{A}}(x,o) = \underline{1}$.

The which vectors follow from 10 ά must (2.3)Ø Ф tis ÝÌ the equations of, struc

$$\partial_{\alpha} \underline{\Phi}_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta} \Phi_{\alpha} - \underline{\Phi}_{\alpha} \times \underline{\Phi}_{\beta} = 0$$

Furthermore, we can establish that

$$(2.12) \qquad \qquad \dot{\underline{\Phi}}_{\alpha} = \partial_{\alpha} \underline{\Omega} + \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{\Phi}_{\alpha}$$

they orientation possible vectors vanish 9 a ţ of the carrying surface under rigid body motions said of linearize (2.11) preserve (2.3) and the and (2.12) (2.5).advantages let Of. In S order to determine the of. t S which cannot, in introduce a tensor Hence, because i t ۲. ائ

(2.13)
$$\underline{E}(x,t) = [(\underline{R}_{\alpha} \times \underline{R}_{\beta}) \cdot \underline{N}]\underline{R}^{\alpha} \otimes \underline{R}^{\beta}$$

sufficient method of normal This ¥ tensor IZ In • obtaining ç concluding this S F Indeed, if choose independent theory the director N+-N of of section let the shells. For then choice e S ďΣ בנט of direction of the $R_{\beta} \rightarrow R_{\alpha} \times R_{\beta}$ this demonstrate purpose and <u>ا</u> ز

$$(2.14) \qquad \underline{D}_3 = \underline{N} \quad , \quad \underline{D}_{(\alpha)} = \underline{E}_{(\alpha)} \quad ,$$

 $E(\alpha)$ face. For being such the Ù principal director directions there exist upon the the relations*) carrying sur-

$$\frac{\Omega}{(2.15)} \times \underline{1} = (\text{Grad }\underline{V})^{\text{T}} - \text{Grad }\underline{V} , \text{Grad }\underline{V} \equiv \underline{R}^{\alpha} \otimes \partial_{\alpha}\underline{V}$$

$$\underbrace{\underline{K}(x,t)} = -\underline{B}(x,t) \cdot \underline{E}(x,t)$$

In this case we have only three degrees of freedom for every point of a directed surface.

^{*)}Einstein's summation convention is adopted.

**)

ding Here $K_{\alpha}(x,t)$ functions in the at sequel the lower case r 0 letters For stand example, for χ Š the correspon

Superscript T indicates transpose.

deformed Ħ tensors tensor S T not respectively, where [|] directed difficult and Z surface ţ called show j. the that specified force the stat tensor Уď Φ two $\circ f$ and ຜູ້ unsymmetrica the tre ន្ល couple į'n

$$(3.1) \ \underline{\mathbb{T}} = \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\alpha} \otimes \underline{\mathbb{T}}^{\alpha} = \underline{\mathbb{T}}^{\alpha n} \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\alpha} \otimes \underline{\mathbb{D}}_{n} \ , \ \underline{\underline{M}} = \underline{\mathbb{R}}_{\alpha} \otimes \underline{\underline{M}}^{\alpha} = \underline{\underline{M}}^{\alpha n} \underline{\underline{R}}_{\alpha} \otimes \underline{\underline{D}}_{n}$$

with

$$(3.2) \quad \underline{\mathbf{T}}^{\alpha} = \sqrt{R^{\alpha\alpha}}\underline{\mathbf{T}}_{(\alpha)} , \quad \underline{\mathbf{M}}^{\alpha} = \sqrt{R^{\alpha\alpha}}\underline{\mathbf{M}}_{(\alpha)} , \quad R^{\alpha\alpha} = \underline{R}^{\alpha} \cdot \underline{R}^{\alpha}$$

nate The vec tors vectors curves of the ×p $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}}(\alpha)$ force Ħ const. and and ĮΣ ±(α) the are, couple respectively, acting 9 the the physi coord cal

It is readily seen that Cauchy's theorem remains valid

$$(3.3) \qquad \underline{T}_{(v)} = \underline{v} \cdot \underline{T} , \underline{M}_{(v)} = \underline{v} \cdot \underline{M} ,$$

tion satisfy curve where +0 10 the ΙZ g 15 equations the ው 0 unit carrying Moreover, normal of: motion surface vector the tensors and directed satisfying ₽Η. outwards and #**Z** the must from condi-

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Div } \underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}} + \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{F}}} = \rho \underline{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} , \quad \text{Div} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}} + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{R}}}_{\alpha} \times \underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}}^{\alpha} + \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{L}}} = \rho \underline{\underline{\theta}} \cdot \underline{\hat{\Omega}}$$

where Div $\underline{\underline{S}} = \underline{\underline{R}}^{\alpha} \cdot \partial_{\alpha} \underline{\underline{S}}$.

surfac The the tensor quantities surface 0f rotary couple, ρĘ, inertia $\rho \mathbf{L}_{\bullet} \rho_{\bullet} \rho_{\bullet} \rho_{\bullet}$ the surface (ρθ are mass called $\rho \underline{\underline{\theta}}^{\mathbf{T}}$ density the respectively. surface and the

EQUATION OF ENERGY BALANCE AND ENTROPY PRODUCTION INEQUALITIES

ø

Let us postulate two laws of thermodynamics for the directed surface S_t . The first law or the equation of energy balance can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Delta S_{t}} \rho \left[\frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \nabla + \frac{1}{2} \underline{\Omega} \cdot \underline{\theta} \cdot \underline{\Omega} + U \right] d\Sigma = \int_{\Delta S_{t}} \rho \left[q + \underline{F} \cdot \underline{V} + \underline{L} \cdot \underline{\Omega} \right] d\Sigma$$
$$+ \int_{C} \left[\underline{T}_{(v)} \cdot \underline{V} + \underline{M}_{(v)} \cdot \underline{\Omega} - h_{(v)} \right] dC ,$$

where U is the internal energy density, $\rho q-$ the heat supply density and $h_{(\,\nu\,)}-$ the heat influx across C .

It is readily seen that (4.1) is an invariant with respect to the group of rigid body motions if the tensors $\underline{\mathbb{T}}$ and $\underline{\mathbb{M}}$ satisfy the equations (3.4) and the following equation of mass conservation holds good

4.2)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Delta S_t} \rho d\Sigma = 0 + \rho \sqrt{R} = \rho_0 \sqrt{r}, \ \rho_0(x) = \rho(x,t) \Big|_{t=0}$$

For future use it is necessary to write down (4.1) in the form of a local energy equation. Making use of the divergence theorem

$$(4.3) \qquad \int_{C} \underline{v} \cdot \underline{s} \, dc = \int_{\Delta S_{t}} (\text{Div } \underline{s} + 2\text{H N} \cdot \underline{s}) d\Sigma ,$$

($\underline{\underline{S}}$ being an arbitrary tensor field upon the surface, H-the mean curvature of the carrying surface), the last integral in (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{array}{ll} 4.4) & \int [\, \underline{v} \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}} \cdot \underline{V} + \underline{v} \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}} \cdot \underline{\Omega} - \underline{v} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{h}}] \, dC = \int [\, (\, \underline{Div} \, \underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}}) \cdot \underline{V} + (\, \underline{Div} \, \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}) \cdot \underline{\Omega} + \rho q \, + \\ c & \Delta S_t \\ & + \, \underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}}^T : \mathbf{Grad} \, \underline{V} + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}}^T : \mathbf{Grad} \, \underline{\Omega} - \underline{Div} \, \underline{\underline{\mathbf{h}}} - 2H\underline{\underline{N}} \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{h}}}] \, d\Sigma \end{array} ,$$

whence, Λq пsе of f (3.4)<u>4</u>. takes the necessary local ₩ orm

$$(4.5) \quad \rho \dot{\mathbf{U}} = \underline{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{T}} : \text{Grad } \underline{\mathbf{V}} - (\underline{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha} \times \underline{\mathbf{T}}^{\alpha}) \cdot \underline{\Omega} + \underline{\mathbf{M}}^{\mathbf{T}} : \text{Grad } \underline{\Omega} - \underline{\mathbf{Div}} \underline{\mathbf{h}} - 2H\underline{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{h}} + \rho$$

and However transform eд obtai essed the through face <u>4</u>. <u>5</u> equation H n H terms S, this S. therefore, which connection, inconvenient are not neces £ 0 intrinsic to ល make ary su \odot ö use bec further for aus О Н ы 2 jt di-

$$\underline{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{T}}:\mathsf{Grad}\ \underline{\mathbf{V}}\ -\ (\underline{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha}\cdot\underline{\mathbf{T}}^{\alpha})\cdot\underline{\Omega}\ =\ \underline{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{T}}\cdot\underline{\underline{\mathbf{k}}}^{\mathbf{X}}\ =\ \underline{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{T}}\cdot\underline{\underline{\mathbf{k}}}^{\mathbf{X}}$$

iizz × r e Q ted $^{"}$ anra surface Ø Ø $^{\alpha}\tilde{p}$ ď Ė and being he ||m||firs ä \mathbb{R}_{\times} energetical Ţ deformati ||| the 9 first for measur O $\mathbf{\Phi}$ d e tensor Ð orma 0 f 4 the

adily shown E analogous that manner Λq making use 0f N S đ ĺŝ

$$\underline{\underline{M}}^{T}: Grad \underline{\Omega} = \underline{\underline{M}}_{*}^{T}: \underline{\underline{k}}^{X} = \underline{\underline{M}}_{*}^{T}: \underline{\underline{\Phi}} = \underline{\underline{M}}^{\alpha n} \underline{\underline{\Phi}}_{\alpha n}$$

* rected 10 $\mathbf{m}^{\alpha \mathbf{n}}$ • αn_rα surface ⊗ Ø ∞ (ರ್ ប្រជ 10. and being the ∥o 3 second 111 an energetical ĭ, × $\frac{\Phi}{\alpha}$ $\frac{D}{n}$ deformation the second couple measure deformation tensor tensor

the equation (4.5)takes the H orm

$$(4.6) \qquad \rho \ddot{\mathbf{U}} = \underline{\mathbf{T}}_{*}^{\mathbf{T}} : \underline{\dot{\mathbf{E}}} + \underline{\mathbf{M}}^{\mathbf{T}} : \dot{\underline{\mathbf{\Phi}}} + \rho \mathbf{q} - \text{Div } \underline{\mathbf{h}} - 2\mathbf{H} \, \underline{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{h}} ,$$

ch Ģ ಬ ati sfied Λq an arbi trary proc

Λq introducing Ω Ή. useful an additional quantity ö rewrite (4.6)as р O set the of heat two exchanged. equations

STI according conta conside ning ected the Ö н medium. Ø the point directed from orientation Жe side removed shall surface \sim towards distinguish from e f t, CO the normal the side фф boundary situated sides Furthermore, Z region ١ \vdash the and ņ Ø normal N heat $^{\rm of}$ 0 let t S t S

> pq2 put sented as a sum of three terms $\rho q = \rho q_1 + \rho q_2 + \rho q_0$ ture belonging to respectively, the temperature ö the upper and lower faces of point. Then the heat supply density is the T_(x,t) , and (4.6) can be rewritten as*) the heat input heat production "inside" 1 from the medium with temperature to side 2 itself and let from the medium with temperaof the surrounding medium t t $T_+(x,t)$ in the vincinity of , pP₁ рq can be repreand - the heat in- $T_{+}(x,t)$ and , where T_(x,t)

$$(4.7) \qquad \rho \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{A}^{-} \underline{\mathbf{T}}_{*A}^{T} : \dot{\underline{\epsilon}}^{-} \underline{\mathbf{M}}_{*A}^{T} : \dot{\underline{\underline{\epsilon}}} = 0.5 \rho q_{0}^{+} + \rho q_{A}^{+} + \rho Q_{A}^{-} - \text{Div } \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{A}^{-} - 2 \text{H } \underline{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{h}}_{A}^{-} ,$$

where subscript Þ is assigned to the side A of t S

ged heat, The quantity i.e. the heat $Q \equiv Q_1 \equiv -Q_2$ exchanged between sides 1 and 2. will be called the exchan-

the form of two inequalities of Let ST postulate the second the Clausius-Dühem type law of thermodynamics in

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int\limits_{\Delta S_{t}} \rho S_{1} d\Sigma = \int\limits_{\Delta S_{t}}^{f} \rho [\frac{q_{0}}{2T_{1}} + \frac{q_{1}}{T_{+}} + \frac{Q_{1}}{T_{2}}] d\Sigma + \int\limits_{C}^{h_{1}} \frac{h_{1}}{T_{1}} dc \geq 0 ,$$

Making can be is given to (4.8), the other inequality total specific entropy of 2 and exchanging T_ inequality can be obtained by replacing being the surface entropy density use written in the local form of the divergence for T₊ t N theorem, . In what can be found as $S = S_1 + S_2$ the inequality (4.8) of side 1. The second follows, being similar. The subscripts 1 and consideration

$$\rho \dot{s}_{1}^{-\rho q} = \frac{\dot{t}_{1}^{-1}}{\dot{T}_{1}^{T}} + \rho Q_{1} \frac{\dot{t}_{1}^{-1}}{\dot{T}_{1}^{T}} - \frac{1}{T_{1}} [0.5 \rho q_{0}^{+\rho q} + \rho Q_{1}^{-\rho q} - Divh_{1}^{-2} + 2HN \cdot h_{1}] - h \dot{h}_{1} + h \dot{h}_{1$$

-
$$T_1^{-2}h_1$$
 Grad $T_1 \ge 0$,

which, by use of (4.7), takes the form

The intended. latin indices A,B,... take the values 1,2, no summation being

mass (4.9)Furthermore, Λq + $\mathbb{T}_{1}^{T}:\mathbb{C}+\mathbb{M}_{1}^{T}:\mathbb{C}$ introducing Helmholtz free 1 \mathtt{T}_1^{-1} \mathtt{h}_1 Grad \mathtt{T}_1 energy ΙV per 0 unit

 $\rho \dot{s}_{1}^{T} T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{1}^{T^{-1}} (T_{1}^{-T} T_{+}^{-\rho q}) - \rho Q_{1}^{T} T_{2}^{-1} (T_{1}^{-T} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q} (T_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}) - \rho \dot{u}_{1}^{-\rho q} T_{2}^{-\rho q}$

Įt Ŋ, clear that (5.1)Ę; satisfied ì£ and only

+ 1

ρ**Ω**_-

2^T

 $\underline{\mathbf{h}}_{\mathbf{1}}$ • Grad

 $^{\mathrm{T}}_{1}$

١٧

0

It is clear that (5.1) is satisfied if, and only if,
$$(5.2) \ \underline{\mathbf{T}}_{*B} = \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial \varepsilon} \ ; \ \underline{\mathbf{M}}_{*B} = \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial \Phi} \ ; \ \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{B}} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \ ; \ \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial \mathbf{T}} = 0, \ (\mathbf{B} \neq \mathbf{C})$$

$$(5.2) \ \underline{\mathbf{T}}_{*B} = \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial \underline{\mathbf{E}}} \ ; \ \underline{\mathbf{M}}_{*B} = \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial \underline{\Phi}} \ ; \ \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{B}} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{B}}} \ ; \ \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{C}}} = 0, \ (\mathbf{B} \neq \mathbf{C})$$

$$\frac{\partial Grad T_{C}}{\partial Grad T_{C}} = 0 ; -q_{1}(T_{1}-T_{+}) \ge 0 ; -Q(T_{1}-T_{2}) \ge 0$$

$$-q_{2}(T_{2}-T_{-}) \ge 0 ; -h_{A} \cdot Grad T_{A} \ge 0$$

(5.3)

Grad T Hence, variables the \mathbf{T}_{2} Helmholtz • Grad $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{A}}$ free and energy A₂ ı \rightarrow that S T 0f T_A independent the variables of the

The relations (5.2) can be rewritten 3 5

(5.4)∥**⊢**] * Ш 36 **4**6 ∥⊠ # li $\frac{\Phi}{\Psi}e^{\phi}$ a_S aT B Ą

ä

(5.5)ĮΗ II $\rho \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial \mathbf{E}} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{T}}$ ٦, [三 II $\mathbf{T} \stackrel{\Phi}{=} \frac{\Phi}{\Phi} \mathbf{e}$ SB aT_B

(5.5)equalities specified [• s_1, s_2 €e ľΩ would have γd grad (5.3) would (2.9).25 the į₩ + Of j state 8 remain unchanged course, ΙZ variables. grad € e II could Grad ď ر ۱۱ this treat 4nd 0 case instead the , and the infunctions ş

$$(5.6) \quad \underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}} = \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{C}}}^{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \underline{\underline{\mathbf{E}}}} \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}}^{\mathbf{T}} ; \quad \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}} = \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{C}}}^{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \underline{\underline{\mathbf{\Phi}}}} \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{A}}}^{\mathbf{T}} ; \quad \underline{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{B}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{B}}}.$$

Then, the equations γď making e f use heat O.f transfer (5.2),(4.10)and (4.7),we obtain

(5.7) Div
$$\underline{h}_A - 2H\underline{N} \cdot \underline{h}_A = 0.5\rho g_0 + \rho(q_A + Q_A) - \rho T_A \dot{s}_A$$

4.10)
$$A_{B} = U_{B} - S_{B}T_{B}$$

inequality (4.9) can be written SP

$$-\rho(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{1}+\mathbf{S}_{1}\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{1}) + \underline{\mathbf{T}}_{*_{1}}^{\mathbf{T}} : \underline{\hat{\mathbf{c}}} + \underline{\mathbf{M}}_{*_{1}}^{\mathbf{T}} : \underline{\hat{\mathbf{c}}} - \rho\mathbf{q}_{1}\mathbf{T}_{+}^{-1}(\mathbf{T}_{1}-\mathbf{T}_{+}) - \mathbf{q}_{1}\mathbf{T}_{1}^{-1}(\mathbf{T}_{1}-\mathbf{T}_{1}) - \mathbf{q}_{1}\mathbf{T}_{1}^{-1}(\mathbf{T}_{1}-\mathbf{T}_{$$

satisfied by Equations foundations (4.7) and the inequality of the an arbitrary following. process. These (4.9)or (4.11) will form must the be

CONSTITUTIVE EQUATIONS AND EQUATIONS OF NON-LINEAR THERMO-ELASTICITY OF A DIRECTED HEAT TRANSFER SURFACE.

for Let constraints. However, in some entropy city state variables. obtain more Ü Sn 15 general rule, $^{\text{A}}_{\text{B}}, \frac{\text{h}}{\text{B}}, {^{\text{Q}}_{\text{B}}}, {^{\text{g}}_{\text{B}}}, {^{\text{g}}_{0}}$ treat one production inequalities play the role such particular the interesting results. Let functions the equations , which there be case and is considered below. are functions $\underline{\varepsilon},\underline{\Phi},\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{B}}$, Grad given specific cases they of. energy balance Non-linear thermo-elasticonstitutive T_B O.ff , as the of compulsory equations state the allow and the sn

*

The inequality (4.11) now take the form

$$(-\rho\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial\underline{\varepsilon}} + \underline{T}_1)^T : \underline{\mathring{\varepsilon}} + (-\rho\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial\underline{\Phi}} + \underline{M}_{*1})^T : \underline{\mathring{\Phi}} - (\rho\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial T_1} + S_1)^{\dagger}\underline{T}_1 - \rho\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial T_2} \overset{\bullet}{T}_2$$

6. LINEARIZATION OF BASIC EQUATIONS

mark The derivatives, $\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha}(x,t)$ tensors. there linear = # = L S The and no distinction theory s ts are same thus $\frac{\Phi}{\Omega}(x,t)$ all holds £ O omitted shall infinitesimally good between S D in the discuss well as for the couple sequel ı. L their true small. such and tensor. spatial that Ιņ energetical this The and $\frac{R}{\alpha}(x,t)$ case asteri time force S

The equations of motion, expressed through the undeformed metric tensor, take the form

$$(6.1) \nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}} + \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{F}}} = \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{V}}}, \nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}} + \underline{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}_{\alpha} \times \underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}}^{\alpha} + \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{L}}} = \rho \underline{\underline{\theta}} \cdot \underline{\underline{\hat{\mathbf{U}}}}, \nabla = \mathbf{grad} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{F}}} \mathbf{Grad}_{|\underline{\mathbf{t}}} = (6.1) \nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}} + \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{F}}} = \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}} \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}, \nabla = \mathbf{grad} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}} \mathbf{Grad}_{|\underline{\mathbf{T}}} = (6.1) \nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}} + \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}} = \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{M}}} \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}, \nabla = \mathbf{grad} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}} \mathbf{Grad}_{|\underline{\mathbf{T}}} = (6.1) \nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}} + \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}} = \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}} \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}, \nabla = \mathbf{grad} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{T}}} \mathbf{Grad}_{|\underline{\mathbf{U}}} = (6.1) \nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}} + \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}} = \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}} \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}, \nabla = \mathbf{grad} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}} = (6.1) \nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}} + \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}} = \rho \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}} \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}, \nabla = \mathbf{grad} \underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}} = \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}$$

The first of the equations (2.3) can be written as

$$\partial_{\alpha}(\underline{R}_{\beta}-\underline{r}_{\beta}) = \partial_{\beta}(\underline{R}_{\alpha}-\underline{r}_{\alpha})$$

Hence there exists a vector $\underline{\mathtt{u}}$, called the displacement vector, such that

$$\frac{R_{\alpha} - r_{\alpha} = \partial_{\alpha} u}{\Delta}$$

Moreover, if Φ_{α} are also infinitesimally small, (2.11) reduce to

$$\partial_{\alpha} \Phi_{\beta} = \partial_{\beta} \Phi_{\alpha}$$

whence the vector **Ц** follows of infinitesimal that there exists rotation, ወ vector such that ⊕(x,t)call ed

$$\frac{\Phi}{\alpha} = \partial_{\alpha} \Phi(x,t) .$$

Whereas 6. linear 3), meaning and (2.5)the u T displacement and only the (2.12),non-linear ņ the vector after former theories, corresponding $\underline{u}(x,t)$ theory the Making exists vector reduction, use both **∮**(x,t) of, ų. 6 the N get

$$(6.4) \qquad \underline{\forall}(x,t) = \underline{\dot{u}}(x,t) , \underline{\Omega}(x,t) = \underline{\dot{\phi}}(x,t)$$

It is not difficult to show that

$$(6.5) \qquad \underline{A} = \underline{1} + \underline{\phi} \times \underline{1} ; \ \underline{D}_{k} = \underline{d}_{k} + \underline{\phi} \times \underline{d}_{k}$$

Furthermore, the second and the first deformation tensors take the form

$$(6.6) \qquad \qquad \underline{\Phi} \simeq \nabla \otimes \underline{\Phi} \equiv \underline{K} \qquad \underline{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \nabla \otimes \underline{\mathbf{u}} + \underline{\mathbf{r}} \times \underline{\Phi} \Xi = \underline{\mathbf{e}} ,$$

 $\underline{\mathbb{K}}_{r}\underline{\mathbb{S}}$ being the infinitesimal second and first tensors, respectively.

Of can exist even though there respectively, but we emphasize that the the be called For the word. sake the of conciseness, the tensors strain tensor is no bending and the "bending" tensor "bending" tensor, in the real sense ||m and

In order to determine the vectors \underline{u} and $\underline{\phi}$ from equations (6.6), the tensors \underline{e} and $\underline{\kappa}$ must satisfy the integrability conditions*

$$(6.7) \qquad \nabla \cdot (\underline{\pi} \cdot \underline{\kappa}) = 0 , \nabla \cdot (\underline{\pi} \cdot \underline{e}) + (\underline{\pi} \cdot \underline{\kappa})_{\mathbf{X}} = 0 ,$$

where $(\underline{\pi} \bullet \underline{\kappa})_X$ is the vector invariant of the tensor $\underline{\pi} \bullet \underline{\kappa}$, for example, $\underline{T}_X = (\underline{r}_\alpha \otimes \underline{T}^\alpha)_X = \underline{r}_\alpha \times \underline{T}^\alpha$.

1940, Lur'e established by the mogeneous statical equations(6.1) and the analogy, namely that there exists - Gol'denveizer Gol'denveizer, 1940) We point out here the ∯© • equations of structure H t is thus following replacement: possible stress-functions so-called statico-geometrical (6.7). The duality can be ç a duality between the ho-(when take into lН $=\underline{\mathbf{T}}=\underline{\mathbf{A}}=\underline{\mathbf{B}}=\underline{\mathbf{D}}$ and † } |'≕ consideration ΙĦ ľΔ (Lur'e, and

⁾ The discrimant tensor $\underline{\mathbb{T}}$ is defined as $\underline{\mathbf{E}}|_{t=0}=\underline{\mathbb{T}}$

$$(6.8) \quad \underline{\underline{T}} = \underline{\underline{T}} \cdot \nabla \otimes \underline{\underline{t}} \quad \underline{\underline{M}} = \underline{\underline{T}} \cdot (\nabla \otimes \underline{\underline{m}} + \underline{\underline{r}} \times \underline{\underline{t}})$$

satisfied by 4 Ø. readily arbitrary seen that functions the homogeneous |1 and equations ΙĦ 6 are

cons nece ហ titutive Ŋ, ary H sections order Ö equations. employ င် define the This equations the will functions 0f 9 structure discussed |+ and ų. 6. ١Ħ 7) the ተ and fol. ŠŢ the

STRUCTURE 유 THE FREE ENERGY FOR THE LINEAR THEORY

For S T function the an infinitesimal დ ლ temperature initially O Hi state unstres variables: ۲. تا deformation the sed natural ļø. the of ||⊼ |• state: free T₁-T₀, the energy directed T_2-T_0 , ŝ sur þ where quadratic Hh ace 0

$$\begin{array}{lll} A &=& \frac{1}{2} \, \, \underline{\,}\, \underline{$$

Λq cause term $\circ f$ (5.2). $(T_1-T_0)(T_2-T_0)$ The fourth does rank not tensors enter A,B,C the expression are defined e d

$$(7.2) \quad (\underline{A},\underline{B},\underline{C}) = (A,B,C)^{\text{nam}\beta} \underline{d}_{n} \otimes \underline{r}_{\alpha} \otimes \underline{d}_{m} \otimes \underline{r}_{\beta}, \quad (A,C)^{\text{nam}\beta} = (A,C)^{\text{mbn}\alpha}$$

and the second rank tensors $\underline{\mathbf{B}}_{1},\underline{\mathbf{B}}_{2},\underline{\mathbf{B}}_{1},\underline{\mathbf{B}}_{2}$ Λq

$$(7.3) \quad (\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{A}}_{1}, \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{A}}_{2}, \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{B}}_{1}, \underset{\simeq}{\mathbb{B}}_{2}) = (\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{A}}_{\{1\}}, \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{A}}_{\{2\}}, \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{B}}_{\{1\}}, \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{B}}_{\{2\}}, \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{B}}_{\{2\}})^{n\alpha} \underline{d}_{n} \otimes \underline{r}_{\alpha}.$$

materials free face **;**still these energy and the remains the tensors will physical number depend rather depend О Н properties On large. scalar 9 104 the functions This scalar geometry of of its material. follows functions. may from the ф directed reduced, the For Thus, certain th ຜ but ur-O

> solution surface that the contains, at of the set of equation local group of symmetry* for most, only three elements, which are an arbitrary directed ω

17

$$(7.4) \quad \underline{Q} \cdot \underline{k} \cdot \underline{k}^{T} \cdot \underline{Q}^{T} = \underline{k} \cdot \underline{k}^{T} , \quad \underline{Q} \cdot \underline{b}^{2} \cdot \underline{Q}^{T} = \underline{b}^{2} , \quad \underline{Q} \cdot \underline{\theta} \cdot \underline{Q}^{T} = \underline{\theta}$$

being an orthogonal tensor.

Q•<u>b</u>²•<u>Q</u> = called the LGS for contains that the LGS for The **₽**2 only set of orthogonal tensors three includes Ø a directed surface. carrying elements the SDIsurface, that for are satisfying (7.4) being directed defined It is the solution readily уd surface, E E seen, but of

$$(7.5)$$
 1; $Q_{(1)} = -e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_2 \otimes e_2 + n \otimes n$; $Q_{(2)} = e_1 \otimes e_1 - e_2 \otimes e_2 + n \otimes n$,

used the where surface direction of carrying later. გ ე a Ct the are surface. It moment the Þ principal SŢ rt not should be 0 defined directions and mentioned, however, (¤ 1 'n. upon the fact a unit normal that will carrying that င် e d

which basis of the If we the director confine carrying surface, ր. Մ our initially attention to the VíZ. coincident with the natural specific case ב ב

$$(7.6) \qquad \qquad \underline{d}_{(\alpha)} = \underline{e}_{(\alpha)}, \ \underline{d}_{3} = \underline{n}$$

then formulae (2.5)are valid, and € O have

$$(7.7) \qquad \underline{\mathbf{k}} = -\underline{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{m}} , \ \underline{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} = \underline{\mathbf{b}}^{2}$$

Hence, instead Off. (7.4),€ e get

$$(7.8) \qquad \underline{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \underline{\mathbb{p}}^2 \cdot \underline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{T}} = \underline{\mathbb{p}}^2 , \quad \underline{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot \underline{\mathbb{p}} \cdot \underline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathrm{T}} = \underline{\theta}$$

³ the sequel the local group of symmetry is abbreviated as SST

19

ela The S, íf, IUS clear natural contained not face stic and equations that true, will properties basis only ŭ the if, the bе because 0f involving full the LGS the the ofSOT same the for carrying tensor the for latter മ as $\|\Phi$ directed directed φ show the Θ physical surface group RS İS that surface. of. surface, for takes the diagonal directed Furthermore, þ LGS directed 0 put for regard form surface the g surface Ļ carrying Ļ conver O Hi is the ۲. ای th Œ se.

can remain þe Let proved unchanged the tha physical during 4 elasticity properties the transformations tensors $\circ f$ the from directed (7.1)7. <u>5</u> take surface Then the 4 Þħ

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{A} = A_1 \underline{r} \otimes \underline{r} + A_2 \underline{\pi} \otimes \underline{\pi} + A_3 \underline{\pi}_1 \otimes \underline{\pi}_1 + A_4 \underline{h} \otimes \underline{h} + A_5 (\underline{r} \otimes \underline{h} + \underline{h} \otimes \underline{r}) + \\ (7.9) \\ + A_6 (\underline{\pi} \otimes \underline{\pi}_1 + \underline{\pi}_1 \otimes \underline{\pi}) + A_7 \underline{n} \otimes \underline{r}^{\alpha} \otimes \underline{n} \otimes \underline{r}_{\alpha} + A_8 h^{\alpha\beta} \underline{n} \otimes \underline{r}_{\alpha} \otimes \underline{n} \otimes \underline{r}_{\beta} \end{array}$$

where

7.12)
$$(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{\mathbf{h}}) = \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1^{\pm} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_2 \otimes \underline{\mathbf{e}}_2'$$
 $(\underline{\mathbf{r}},\underline{\mathbf{m}}) = \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1 \otimes \underline{\mathbf{e}}_2^{\pm} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_2 \otimes \underline{\mathbf{e}}_1$

and tensor the \O upper may be sign obtained corresponds from ő (7.9)the Λď first replacing tensor The × Λq

.15)

changed, during ä the deriving but transformations the tensor 7 9) 7 방지 11) (7. 4 rans 5), ě forms have the tensor used into the 小木 $\|\Phi$ fac remains Thus # that ļ g

> S. can example, general equations spherical isotropic ollows impossible of. Ι£ include shown ariant the directed that the they hold surfaces surface ۱¤ that physical properties γď ţ **but** being far the surface simplify the formulae Þ tensors good (under the material. the does tensors for regardless most not axis necessary $\underline{\underline{A}},\underline{\underline{B}},\ldots$ must stiffened change, of g interesting cases. Thus, of the isotroj 얁 res ot) the shells the рy, satisfy the following trictions on her hand, these (7.9)-(7.11) for are tensors change then for physical propertransversally of rather sign. It $\frac{\mathbb{A}}{\mathbb{A}}, \frac{\mathbb{C}}{\mathbb{A}}, \frac{\mathbb{A}}{\mathbb{A}}, \frac{\mathbb{A}}{\mathbb{A}}$ planes for-**,** for general µ. ← and

$$(7.13) \quad (\underline{A}, \underline{B}, \underline{C}) = \underbrace{\otimes \underline{Q}}_{1} \cdot (\underline{A}, \underline{B}, \underline{C}); (\underline{\underline{A}}_{1}, \underline{\underline{A}}_{2}, \underline{\underline{B}}_{1}, \underline{\underline{B}}_{2}) = \underline{Q}}_{1} \cdot (\underline{\underline{A}}_{1}, \underline{\underline{A}}_{2}, \underline{\underline{B}}_{1}, \underline{\underline{B}}_{2}) \cdot \underline{Q}^{T},$$

where

∥ທ Making b Į, tensor of the following use O.F (7.9) - (7.11)k-th form rank and and (7. € -an arbitrary angle 13), we can represent

$$\begin{cases} \underline{A} = A_0 r^{\alpha \beta} \underline{n} \underline{\otimes} \underline{r}_{\alpha} \underline{\otimes} \underline{n} \underline{\otimes} \underline{r}_{\beta} + A_1 \underline{r} \underline{\otimes} \underline{r}_{\beta} + A_2 \underline{r} \underline{O} \underline{n} + A_3 (\underline{n}_1 \underline{\otimes} \underline{n}_1 + \underline{h} \underline{\otimes} \underline{h}) \\ \underline{B} = B_0 n^{\alpha \beta} \underline{n} \underline{\otimes} \underline{r}_{\alpha} \underline{\otimes} \underline{n} \underline{\otimes} \underline{r}_{\beta} + B_1 \underline{r} \underline{\otimes} \underline{n} + B_2 \underline{n} \underline{\otimes} \underline{r} + B_3 (\underline{n}_1 \underline{\otimes} \underline{h} - \underline{h} \underline{\otimes} \underline{n}_1) \\ \underline{A}_1 = A_4 \underline{r}, \quad \underline{A}_2 = A_5 \underline{r}, \quad B_1 = B_4 \underline{n}, \quad \underline{B}_2 = \underline{B}_5 \underline{n} \end{cases}$$

moduli, noted diameter that the scalar there radius of the are functions ٥f "microstructure" 9 curvature surplus Ď (7.15)of functions: the depend on the carrying surface ۲t there will always should elastic 90

21

whi exi plification thi 3 dwns S (n 15 ions sodind € O Will mate derive This 0f rial form Ļ. certain case 15 .15) ù (with nec minimum μ. can ess (A) transversal discussed ary formulae ወ ሿ complete made ð make ij only which ۲. sotropy) set. the some for are next Any additional Ø exac plane, for Sec further ᡤ which 4 only TOD 4nd simä H ö

8. NORMAL ISOTROPIC DIRECTED SURFACE

more, only rec **d**) 0 еf S e Xo ВY face that ı Ĺ hoods the S F S T r S ame ĸ W O fi Spd ted ect, mentioned ory, nece ludes immediate ncluded nce be possible the Ω E E 7 with eд sur ssary rms **€** (1) 7 considered rec of. 9 The \Box the deviation S ۲. the ace ref Ö forms ignore \mapsto c f aid less rom the i i tion ç poi SP points above vicinity for which rred at Ф sume immediate $0(h^2)$ nts restrict the concepts proper the of. circle than planes. the quantities here. from 0£ 0f that is, the 6 point furthe upper gi ties **٤ 3**E 7 the the ven normal 0f invariant the 'n the mean 0 H vicinity of the These the О Н Н In from the will limit general, surface surface radius point simpli tangential forces the physical Of. class View points the should ç ĺŕ 0 present $0(h^2)*)$ 0f are, the with H part of and that ridges that ₽ icati ¥e validity only each 0 f the e De tangential properties carrying Wit ር ወ 0 # plane Such couple are isotropy 9 gnore include Ø sufficiently thr section approximat pect and point To the O Ф si. 66-1 S T ç begin Ø the the the tuated requirement 7 surface ţ alone. Q, surf neighbourof of Δ **₹** pla Ġ. mens 15) edges Fur eff ac theory with, the aces 0(h²) cur ģ cons Ф the nor ۳. Ωi Αt smooth O.f ţ that -urs ider ٥f that the tha **⊢**• 0 ተተነ ŗ þe 1

*

of far pur lies tion of ٥f surface, surface, the pendent vided the the independent isotropic radii of change of $0(h^2)$, normal the äS called normal isotropic. radii of carrying the յ. († Thus for Ø carrying surface. ij the normal results in of the should borne in mind curvature. crux of the definition of while reversal in the material is a normal isotropic middle isotropy, the are ШW of the must be a linear curvature, surface. Such points will • the surface orientation) independent of the the plane is chosen as sign choice of tensor Moreover, the change surfaces Αs direction of ۱W regards tensors Thus, that orientation an function of the mean curvature if the fre S, taking deformab þ formed interch a reve geometry of the carrying linear function of the for a normal that is indethe does the ₽,C place ۱۵ be example, ange in $\mathtt{T}_\mathtt{l}$ e energy is to be carrying plane. by these points le surfaces rsal in the directensors not lead to a sign → -m (herein referred to on the carrying directed plane, prowithin the terms only in K a plate <u>A</u>1'A2'B1' the free and ន and Inso-Ö, bepoints T₂

Thus for normal isotropic deformable surfaces the free energy takes the form

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \underline{e} : \underline{A} : \underline{e} + H\underline{e} : \underline{B} : \underline{c} + \frac{1}{2} \underline{c} : \underline{C} : \underline{c} + A_4 \underline{r} : \underline{e} (T_1 + T_2 - 2T_0)$$

$$(8.1) + C_4 \underline{r} : \underline{c} (T_1 - T_2) + \frac{1}{2} K[(T_1 - T_0)^2 + (T_2 - T_0)^2] ,$$

where functions surface the put tensors A_4,C_4,K not 8 A,B,C its depend geometry. on the materi defined by (7 al properties .15), and the of. scalar the

9. CONSTITUTIVE EQUATIONS FOR HEAT-FLUX VECTOR AND THE EXCHANGED HEAT

ē ij directed the restrict form surface. We our attention to prescribe the the case const Of, itutive equations a normal isotropic

The uch uni Ø t the O. minimum length 18 adius taken 0 ç curva Ď Ù characteris t H Ü ľ ne 16 dimen

$$(9.1) \stackrel{h}{h}_{A} = \kappa \operatorname{grad} T_{A}$$
, $Q = \kappa_{1}(T_{2}-T_{1})$, $q_{A} = \gamma_{A}[T_{+(-)}-T_{A}]$,

where Substituting + corresponds (9.1)ţ into × II the \vdash inequalities and Н ó Þ (5.3),II N **€** get

Making use of (5.2) and (8.1), we can represent $s_{{\color{black}A}}$ in the following form

(9.3)
$$S_{A} = -A_{4}\underline{x} : \underline{e} + (-1)^{A}C_{4}\underline{x} : \underline{x} + K(T_{A}-T_{0})$$

Equations (5.7), after linearization and by substitution of (9.1), can be written as

(9.4)
$$\nabla \cdot \underline{h}_{A} = 0.5 \rho q_{0} + \rho (q_{A} + Q_{A}) - \rho T_{A} S_{A}$$

perature From these fields equations $_{\rm T}$ and and (9. Ŧ2 1), can easily (9.3),the фę equations obtained. for tem-

10. EXAMPLE OF THE CONVENTIONAL SHELL THEORY

proach AS the modulian free illustration of the let of energy a homogeneous us consider takes the the problem elastic possibilities simplest shell.For of. form evaluating of the the isothermal present the elastic apcase

(10.1)
$$A = \frac{1}{2} \subseteq : \underline{A} : \underline{G} + H \subseteq : \underline{B} : \underline{G} + \frac{1}{2} \subseteq : \underline{G} : \underline{G}$$

medium, Ηf the material ž O can prove of. the that shell body j. S ğ non-polar elastic

(10.2)
$$A_2 = C_0 = C_1 = B_0 = B_2 = 0$$

From the conditions of positive definiteness of free energy

$$A \geq 0$$

it follows that

(10.4)
$$A_0 \ge 0$$
 , $A_1 \ge 0$, $A_3 \ge 0$, $C_2 \ge 0$, $C_3 \ge 0$

(10.5)
$$A_1C_3 - H^2B_1^2 \ge 0$$
, $A_3C_2 - H^2B_3^2 \ge 0$

Making use of dimensional analysis (Niordson, 1971), it is easily shown that

$$\underline{\underline{A}} = \underline{\underline{A}}(Eh, v)$$
, $\underline{\underline{B}} = \underline{\underline{B}}(Eh^3, v)$, $\underline{\underline{C}} = \underline{\underline{C}}(Eh^3, v)$.

these tensors are linear functions of E , we can write

(10.6)
$$\underline{\underline{A}}(Eh, v) = Eh\underline{\underline{A}}(v)$$
, $\underline{\underline{B}}(Eh^3, v) = Eh^3\underline{\underline{B}}(v)$, $\underline{\underline{C}}(Eh^3, v) = Eh^3\underline{\underline{C}}(v)$

the From these this case, satisfied if tropy membrane ese formulae and from the $(H^2h^2 <<1)$ it follows that it follows theory of shells, the conditions (10.4) hold good. An exception is from (10.5), that that conditions i.e. when requirement of normal iso- $C_2 = C_3 = 0$. In **B** 3 (10.5) will be o .

are c_2,c_3,B_1,B_2 be mathematical or physical. tensor the defined $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbb{I}}^{\triangledown}$ Now we have to carrying β of density . In . These must be the order corresponding part surface. О determine to of the o do The this, we found by experiment, which may It is very simple mass surface the o H Δm functions consider a part and the inertia the and the shell $\rho, \rho \stackrel{\theta}{=}, A_0, A_1, A_3,$ to determine inertia {zxas} ΔSt ten-

$$\Delta m = \int_{\Delta S_{t}} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_{*} (1-2Hz+Kz^{2}) dz d\sigma ,$$

$$\Delta S_{t} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_{*} [(\underline{r} \cdot \underline{r}) \underline{1} - \underline{r} \underline{\theta} \underline{r}] (1-2Hz+Kz^{2}) dz d\sigma$$

$$\Delta S_{t} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_{*} [(\underline{r} \cdot \underline{r}) \underline{1} - \underline{r} \underline{\theta} \underline{r}] (1-2Hz+Kz^{2}) dz d\sigma$$

curvature in დ **¥** of the carrying surface, while the volume $\{z \times \Delta S_t\}$. is the density of the medium and K- the Gaussian ĺ۲ defines the

It is obvious that ρ and $\rho\underline{\theta}$ can be found from

(10.7)
$$\rho = \lim_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} \frac{\Delta m}{\Delta S_{\pm}} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* (1-2Hz+Kz^2)dz = \rho h + 0 (h^3)$$

$$\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0 \qquad \Delta S_{\pm} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-2Hz+Kz^2)dz (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-2Hz+Kz^2)dz (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho_* z^2 (1-n\omega n) = \int_{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \frac{\Delta S_{\pm}}{\Delta S_{\pm} \to 0} = \int_{-h/2$$

#φ] =

From (10.8) and (10.1) it follows that the so-called sixth means that we have an additional restriction imposed on the does not permit exact satisfaction of this equation of motion constitutive equations. However, the form of these equations equation of motion is no longer a differential one. This present theory because of the following. except in the case when the structure has the form of a sphere. However, this is of no consequence to the plate

(10.9) it is easy to see that the following equations hold $\lim_{h\to 0} [h^{-1}L_{i}] = 0(1) \neq 0$, 1,2,3,4,5

evaluate the tensors

[i **--**]

and

ZI

from the formulae

and

and \leq (7.15).

Let us consider two arbitrary tensor fields

(10.9)
$$\lim_{h\to 0} [h^{-L}L_{i}] = 0(1) \neq 0$$
, $i = 1,2,3,4,5$;

(10.10)
$$\lim_{h\to 0} [h^{-3}L_6] = 0(1)$$

Li 15 the i-th equation of motion.

hand, sary with shell the sixth and second order effects we have Ψe theory to be sufficient can therefore conclude that the equation, which remains to require the basic a self-adjoint to impose and may the unsati one. For this it follow be ignored. On the other opera ing tor sfied, is secondary part of the restriction associated elastic is necesof.

$$\underline{n} \cdot \underline{\phi} = 0 + \phi_3 = 0$$

i S wa s non-polar elastic medium. 6 e d expected, insofar as the ma terial of the shell

structure and the other a two-dimensional chosen conveniently. We demonstrate the frequency verse manner by utilizing behaviour values problems Thus, the of spectrum of of their coefficients these ı form of the basic one of which describes a unknown an arbitrary "shell-like" their coefficients unique correspondence as equations and the h+0 this γď are are one. three-dimensional solving two eigenknown. However, found in an instructure, asymptotic Ö the

quencies of Problem 1: We are required an elastic body that occupies to determine the eigenfrethe region

$$[-h/2 \le z \le h/2$$
, $-a \le x \le a$, $-b \le y \le b$]

and 15 subject ç the following boundary conditions

=
$$\pm h/2$$
, $\tau_{zz} = \tau_{zx} = \tau_{xy} = 0$;
= $\pm a$, $V = W = 0$ $\tau_{xx} = 0$;
= $\pm b$, $U = W = 0$ $\tau_{yy} = 0$.

<<

×

Ν

quencies of Problem an .. elastic æe are directed plane required g deter that mine the occupies the eigenfreregion

and is subject to the following boundary conditions

$$x = \pm a$$
, $U_2 = U_3 = 0$, $T_{11} = 0$, $\phi_1 = 0$, $M_{12} = 0$; $Y = \pm b$, $U_1 = U_3 = 0$, $T_{22} = 0$, $\phi_2 = 0$, $M_{21} = 0$.

identical if their corresponding eigenfrequencies ciently identical. almost identical, provided the small. clear ¥e shall say from physical reasoning that that two elastic thickness systems J the is are problems suffi-

The solutions of these problems can be found without any difficulty. Thus, making use of (10.4) and (10.6), the eigenfrequencies can be written down in ascending order of magnitude

Theory of Elasticity 5

(10.11)
$$\omega = \frac{Eh^2}{12(1-v^2)} \sigma^4 + O(h^4)$$
, $\omega = \frac{C_2 + C_3}{h} \sigma^4 + O(h^4)$,

(10.12)
$$\omega = G\sigma^2$$
 , $\omega = \frac{A_2}{h} \sigma^2$

(10.13)
$$\omega = \frac{E}{1-v^2} \sigma^2$$
, $\omega = \frac{A_1+A_2}{h} \sigma^2$

$$(10.14) \ \omega = G(\frac{\pi^2}{h^2} + \sigma^2) , \qquad \omega = \frac{12C_2}{h^3} \frac{A_0}{C_2} + \sigma^2) ,$$

$$(10.15) \ \omega = G(\frac{\pi^2}{h^2} + KG^2) + O(h^2) , \qquad \omega = G(\frac{12A_0}{Gh^3} + \frac{K_*}{G}\sigma^2) + O(h^2)$$

(10.16)
$$\omega = G(\frac{4S^2\pi^2}{h^2} + \sigma^2)$$
, $S=1,2,...$

witere

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{(2n-1)^2 \pi^2}{a^2} + \frac{(2m-1)^2 \pi^2}{b^2} ; n,m = 1,2,...,$$

K > 1, K $_{\rm S}$ > 1 , K $_{\star}$ > 12C $_{\rm 2}/h^3$ are numbers and G = E/2(Hv) . The eigenfrequencies of problem 1 are tabulated on the left side and those of problem 2 on the right.

meaning only when $h \rightarrow 0$. theory of elasticity. the responding two-dimensional theory with the lowest foils of the æ of. determine the elastic moduli by the surfaces obtained from the frequency dispersion surfaces obtained using Of course, this requirement has three-dimensional demanding coin COTT

From (10.11)-(10.15) it follows that the elastic oduli are determined by the relations

$$\begin{cases} C_2 + C_3 = Eh^3/12(1-v^2), & C_2 = Gh^3/12, \\ A_1 + A_2 = Eh/(1-v^2), & A_2 = Gh, \\ A_0 = (\pi^2/12) \cdot Gh \end{cases}$$

proof A_Q conditions. Moreover, Generally within an the moduli tud The solutions of both these problems elastic moduli so obtained do not A₀ Reissner's well-known calculations 3 only exception is the modulus would relations of a thin hollow sphere or in (10.19). (5/6)Gh accuracy of 0(h2), moduli speaking, the next problem should be these solutions confirm the and B₃ Although the 9 in order. are well-known in which almost In order we may answer is Such study, ¢ מ proof coincides determine of transverse the for a hollow self-evident, a strict it transpires that, depend on the boundary В can formulae give classical example, and þe the remaining with the value the cylinder. From given by making 3 to prove that the vibration value shell theory. (10.19).shear vanish. Of A₀

29

use of the idea from Weinstein's method of intermediate operators (see, for example, Gould, 1966).

DISCUSSIO

but Nevertheless, asymptotic ç that include transverse shear (10. dispersion surfaces Moreover, valid more based on ponding freedom ,14), coefficients should same accurate. However, only in the accurate O£ layer. 26 (10.15). It asymptotic <u>+</u> j. 0 (hⁿ) any number account for estimating ij a simple manipulation of (at the is rather the such asymptotic the attempt This means every mode1 (which, of than usual Оť region where theories order that follows frequency the point), we those the ţ considered here interesting sense of were expansions. estimates accuracy 3 5 that Ħ construct actual structure course, depend on the that turn out sufficiently those from this that deformations are discarded (10.16)-(10.18) the dispersion , is could describe ignore can 0f theory included in to point a shell Ĭη quantities ő predestined their had o essence, be such deformations 108 only SO longer surfaces both useful and the shell theories out accuracy theory developed frequencies asymptotical the only five of đ bе such that all external for accura analysi 0(hⁿ) U value fail. ğ degrees cannot alled and COX 15 the have Of. Ø ΣY

spea 엵 S. sional theory constructing king theory. inherent estimates matter בו O H theory given theory of, this elasticity. However, Off. the connection, $\circ f$ fact, ល second order elasticity theory in comparison with the three-dimensional we are unable from our point of This of the **+** without may ís, should effects theory D D ij resort considered a drawback ç be itself of a point (88) stressed view, 6 directed ģ out ĕe the the major mean that the three-dimenpossibility advantage surfa only accuracy Of. 0

> mathematically ğ force, theory the ٥f couple, present elasticity, we exact meaning of displacement, approach. 0f do not course, rotation such physical touch upon the as and in the temperature. concepts classical question of \$ ф

Karihaloo for his Denmark. Polytechnical ACKNOWLEDGMENT -Lur'e The author and Institute F.I. This kind help would like to Niordson for many useful discussions and work was mainly and was ä the finished extend his preparation carried out at the Technical University of sincere of f аt the the thanks manuscript. Leningrad to Professors ð Dr. в. Ц.

REFERENCES

- [1] CARTAN, E.: Theory ferential Geometry CARTAN, 1963. (In Russian) Frame of Reference. of f Moscow exposed Finite State through Continuous University the Groups use of Press. and Difa Movable
- [2] ERICKSEN, J.L. and TRUESDELL, C.: Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 1, 295, 1958.
- [3] GREEN, A.E., NAGHDI, P.M. and WAINWRIGHT, W.L.: Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 20, 287, 1965.
- [4] GREEN, A.E. and NAGHDI, P.M.: Proc. IUTAM Symposium on the Theory of Thin Shells, Copenhagen 1967, (Ed. Niordson, F.I.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969.
- [5] GOL'DENVEIZER, A.L.: Prikl. Mat. Mekh. (PMM, In Russian),
 4, 1940.
- [6] GOULD, S.H.: Variational Methods for Eigenvalue Problems, University of Toronto Press (London: Oxford University Press), 1966.
- [7] LUR'E, A.I.: Prikl. Mat. Mekh. (PMM, In Russian), 4, 1940.
- [8] NIORDSON, F.I.: Int. J. Solids Structures, 7, 1573, 1971.
- [9] REISSNER, E.: Proc. IUTAM Symposium on the Theory of Thin Shells, Copenhagen 1967, (Ed. Niordson, F.I.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969.
- [10] SERBIN, H. J. Math. Phys., 4, 1963